Direct Lineage Conversion of Terminally Differentiated Hepatocytes to Functional Neurons
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SUMMARY

Several recent studies have showed that mouse and human fibroblasts can be directly reprogrammed into induced neuronal (iN) cells, bypassing a pluripotent intermediate state. However, fibroblasts represent heterogeneous mesenchymal progenitor cells that potentially contain neural crest lineages, and the cell of origin remained undefined. This raises the fundamental question of whether lineage reprogramming is possible between cell types derived from different germ layers. Here, we demonstrate that terminally differentiated hepatocytes can be directly converted into functional iN cells. Importantly, single-cell and genome-wide expression analyses showed that fibroblast- and hepatocyte-derived iN cells not only induced a neuronal transcriptional program, but also silenced their donor transcriptional program. The remaining donor signature decreased over time and could not support functional hepatocyte properties. Thus, the reprogramming factors lead to a binary lineage switch decision rather than an induction of hybrid phenotypes, but iN cells retain a small but detectable epigenetic memory of their donor cells.

INTRODUCTION

Following our initial report that mouse fibroblasts can be converted into functional neuronal cells (iN cells) by the ectopic expression of the three transcription factors Ascl1, Bmi2, and Myt1l, several additional groups and we have recently shown the induction of neuronal traits also in human fibroblasts based on the same factors (Ambasudhan et al., 2011; Caiazzo et al., 2011; Pang et al., 2011; Pfisterer et al., 2011; Qiang et al., 2011; Son et al., 2011; Vierbuchen et al., 2010; Yoo et al., 2011). A fundamental question not addressed in these studies is whether both terminally differentiated cells and cells definitely derived from a nonectodermal lineage can be converted into neurons. Primary fibroblast cultures are inherently heterogeneous with respect to both cell type and maturation stage and can contain neural crest cell derivatives. Thus, the identity and the maturation stage of the cells that gave rise to iN cells remained undefined. Given these technical limitations we sought to determine whether better defined and more homogenous cell types can be converted into neuronal cells.

Hepatocytes are considered relatively homogeneous and account for 78% of the liver mass (Zhao and Duncan, 2005; Braeuning et al., 2006). Here we show that terminally differentiated mouse hepatocytes can be converted into functional iN cells. This is proof that an endodermal cell can be converted into an ectodermal cell and that a definitely nonectodermal (and nonneural crest) cell can be converted into a functional neuronal cell. A well-characterized and specific Albumin-Cre transgenic mouse line combined with a robust fluorescence-based genetic lineage tracing system allowed us to not only unequivocally demonstrate that Albumin-expressing hepatocytes were the origin of converted neuronal cells, but to also specifically characterize the hepatocyte-derived (Hep-) iN cells and the reprogramming process. Our data show that both mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF)-iN and Hep-iN cells had very efficiently downregulated the MEF and hepatocyte-specific transcriptional network. This result leads to the surprising conclusion that the same neuronal transcription factors can induce the downregulation of two very different transcriptional programs. Similar to that seen in induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), we observed a small degree of epigenetic memory, which diminished over time (Bar-Nur et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2010; Ohi et al., 2011; Polo et al., 2010). We conclude that iN cells are truly converted cells and not simple hybrid phenotypes between neurons and donor cell types.

RESULTS

Induction of Neuronal Cells from Liver Cells

To test whether cells derived from liver can be induced to become neuronal cells, we established primary liver cultures from postnatal days (P) 2–5 wild-type and TauEGFP knockin mice (Tucker et al., 2001; Wernig et al., 2002). Four days after isolation, the majority of cells showed a typical epithelial morphology and expressed Albumin, a-fetoprotein, and a-antitrypsin (Figures 1A and 1J, Figure S1A available online). One week after explantation, a typical culture was composed of
60% Albumin-positive hepatocytes, 16% myeloid cells, 2% Kupffer cells, and 2% endothelial cells (Figures S1B and S1D). Absence of the neuronal or neural progenitor cell markers Sox2, Bm2, MAP2, and NeuN in the culture was confirmed by immunofluorescence (data not shown). The rare (~1/5000) Tuj1-positive cells had a flat morphology, not resembling neuronal cells (Figure S1C). TauEGFP-positive cells were not detectable in these cultures as evaluated by flow cytometry or fluorescence microscopy.

The primary liver cultures were then replated and infected with doxycycline (dox)-inducible lentiviruses containing the cDNAs of Ascl1 (A), Brn2 (B), and Myt1l (M) in various combinations. Thirteen days after addition of dox, TauEGFP-positive cells with a complex neuronal morphology were readily detected in
the wells that received all three factors (BAM) (Figure 1B). No neuronal cells were found in any other combination (Figure 1K). Immunofluorescence confirmed that all TauEGFP-positive cells generated by the BAM factors were also Tuj1 positive (Figure 1C). When analyzed 3 weeks after infection, the cells could also be labeled with antibodies against PSA-NCAM, NeuN, MAP2, and Synapsin (Figures 1D–1H). A fraction (35 out of 200 counted Tuj1-positive cells) of the cells could also be labeled with an antibody against vesicular glutamate transporter 1 (vGlut1) (Figure 1I). In contrast, no GAD67, TH, ChAT, or serotonin-positive cells were detected (0 out of at least 200 counted Tuj1-positive cells). Because neuronal subtype-specific markers are expressed predominantly in mature stages of neuronal differentiation while Tuj1 labels already early postmitotic immature neurons, we conclude that the majority of mature iN cells are excitatory neurons. Moreover, qRT-PCR analysis showed that TauEGFP-positive iN cells 3 weeks after infection had not only induced neuronal transcripts, but also silenced transcripts characteristic of the starting cell population (Figure 1J).

Genetic Proof that iN Cells Can Be Derived from Albumin-Expressing Hepatocytes

We next employed the Cre-LoxP system to unambiguously identify hepatocytes and their cellular progeny in primary liver cultures. An Albumin-Cre transgenic mouse strain was used that had been characterized extensively and shown to specifically label hepatocytes in both fetal and adult mice (Postic et al., 1999; Weisend et al., 2009). Albumin-Cre mice were crossed with ROSA26-mTmG reporter mice, which express membranous tdTomato before, and membranous EGFP after, Cre-mediated recombination (Figure 2A). As expected, the EGFP fluorescence was confined to epithelial cells in freshly isolated liver cultures from these mice (Figure 2B). These cultures were typically composed of ~80% EGFP-positive and ~20% tdTomato-positive cells. However, this ratio declined to 60% EGFP-positive 40% tdTomato-positive cells after 1 week in culture, implying that hepatocytes were lost and/or other cells outgrew the hepatocytes. Next, we infected these cultures with the three BAM factors and 13 days after dox induction we detected both red and green fluorescent cells with neuronal morphologies (Figures 2C and 2E). EGFP-positive cells also expressed the neuronal markers TuJ1 and PSA-NCAM (Figures 2D and 2F). Similar results were obtained using an independent reporter allele (ROSA26-Bgeo) (Mao et al., 1999), where expression of β-galactosidase is induced after Cre-mediated recombination (Figures 2G and 2H). These results unequivocally demonstrate that iN cells can be derived from Albumin-expressing hepatocytes. We therefore termed these cells Hep-iN cells.

Hep-iN Cells Are Independent of Transgene Expression and Have Acquired Functional Properties of Mature Neurons

To determine whether mature Hep-iN cells require sustained transgene expression in order to maintain their phenotype, we removed dox from media at different time points after infection. Surprisingly, as few as 5 days of dox treatment sufficed to generate Hep-iN cells, which were present until at least day 22 after addition of dox. Similar results were obtained with MEF-iN cells (Figure 2I). The longer the transgenes were expressed, the more iN cells were generated. Efficiencies appeared to plateau at around 11 days of dox treatment. While the three exogenous factors were strictly dox dependent, the endogenous genes were induced during the reprogramming process (Figure 2J). To investigate whether Hep-iN cells also possessed functional properties of neurons and whether these properties were stable without transgene expression, we performed patch-clamp recordings with cells that were treated with dox for 12 days and cultured for an additional 18 days in dox-free media. Hep-iN cells were identified as EGFP-positive neuronal cells when derived from Albumin-Cre/ROSA26-mTmG mice. We also recorded from Hep-iN cells identified as EGFP/tdTomato-double positive cells when derived from Albumin-Cre/ROSA26-tdTomato/TauEGFP mice (described below, Figure 2K). The average resting membrane potential of the Hep-iN cells was −50.1 ± 2 mV (n = 16). Moreover, spontaneous action potentials were detected in half of the cells (n = 8) (Figure 2L). All analyzed Hep-iN cells generated action potentials when depolarized by current injections (Figure 2M) and showed fast inactivation sodium currents and outward potassium currents (Figures S1G and S1H). When Hep-iN cells were FAC-sorted 7 days after dox and cultured together with mouse cortical neuronal cultures for another 4 weeks, postsynaptic responses could be evoked by extracellular stimulation of surrounding neurons (Figure 2N). At holding potentials of −70 mV, a small inward current was detected, presumably mediated by AMPA receptors and/or GABA_A receptors. At +60 mV a large outward current was evoked, presumably mediated by NMDA and/or GABA_A receptors.

Reprogramming Efficiencies and Kinetics Are Similar between Fibroblasts and Hepatocytes

To gain insight into the process of iN cell reprogramming, we first evaluated the cell division frequency after induction of the BAM transgenes in liver cultures by a 5-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) incorporation assay. When BrdU was present from the day of infection (i.e., 1 day before dox) throughout the time of iN cell generation, only 12% of the Tuj1-positive cells at day 13 incorporated BrdU. When BrdU treatment was begun on the day of transgene induction (dox addition), only 1% of the Tuj1-positive cells were BrdU positive (Figures 3A and 3B). Thus, the vast majority of hepatocytes were reprogrammed to iN cells without mitosis.

To address the reprogramming kinetics, we generated triple transgenic mice containing the TauEGFP allele together with Albumin-Cre and a ROSA26-tdTomato reporter. In this lineage tracing setting, Albumin-positive hepatocytes and their progeny constitutively express tdTomato while non-hepatocyte-derived cells remain without fluorescent label (Figure 3C and Figure S2A). We established primary hepatocyte cultures from these mice and as expected, 13 days after transduction with the BAM factors, TauEGFP/tdTomato-double positive Hep-iN cells appeared (Figures 3D–3F). Surprisingly, as early as 1 day after transgene expression, some infected hepatocytes expressed TauEGFP (Figures 3G and 3H). Over time the generation of EGFP-positive cells steadily increased, with similar kinetics for hepatocytes and fibroblasts. On day 13, the conversion efficiencies relative to the number of plated cells of hepatocytes were similar to those of postnatal fibroblasts (ca. 6%) but
Figure 2. iN Cells Can Be Derived from Terminally Differentiated Hepatocytes

(A) Experimental rationale of the genetic lineage tracing. (B) Albumin-Cre/ROSA26-mTmG liver cultures exhibited EGFP-positive hepatocytes and tdTomato-positive cells 5 days after isolation. (C–F) EGFP-positive Hep-iN cells derived 13 days after dox expressed Tuj1 and PSA-NCAM. (G) Hepatocyte cultures from Albumin-Cre/ROSA26-Bgeo mice showed β-galactosidase activity. (H) After infection both unstained and Xgal-stained neuronal-like cells could be identified. (I) Quantification of Hep-iN and MEF-iN cells at day 23 after infection. Dox was removed from culture dishes at time points shown (n = 3). (J) qPCR analysis for endogenous mRNA (endo) or viral mRNA (exo). Dox was withdrawn at day 12 (n = 2). (K) EGFP-positive cells from Albumin-Cre/ROSA26-mTmG transgenic mice were characterized by patch clamping. (L) Spontaneous action potentials recorded in Hep-iN cells 30 days after dox induction (n = 8). (M) Repetitive action potentials could be induced when increasing amounts of current were injected (n = 16). (N) Evoked postsynaptic response recorded from a Hep-iN cell cocultured with mouse cortical neurons. Arrow indicates time point of stimulation. Scale bars: 20 μm (K), 25 μm (H), 50 μm (B, E, F, and G), and 100 μm (C and D). See also Figure S2 and Table S1.
lower than those of embryonic fibroblasts (ca. 20%) (Figure 3H and Supplemental Experimental Procedures). When cultured in keratinocyte serum free media (KSFM), a media reported to prevent dedifferentiation of cultured hepatocytes (Li et al., 2007), we observed conversion efficiencies similar to those seen with our regular hepatocyte growth media (Figures S1E and S1F).

Finally, we asked whether iN cell reprogramming could be extended to more mature hepatocytes. Following infection with the BAM viruses, we could generate iN cells from 1-year-old TauEGFP or Albumin-Cre/ROSA26-mT/mG reporter mice. Correcting for an assumed infection rate of 30%, we estimated a conversion efficiency of 2.7% ± 1.4% (Figures S2C–S2E).

**MEF-iN and Hep-iN Cells Show Global Transcriptional Remodeling**

In order to characterize iN cell formation on the molecular level, we determined the gene expression profiles of FACS-purified iN cells from hepatocytes, MEFs, and tail tip fibroblasts (TTFs) 13 and 22 days after dox using Illumina’s MouseRef-8 v2.0 Expression BeadChip microarrays (Figure 4A). In addition we profiled the starting populations of Albumin-Cre/ROSA26-tdTomato-positive hepatocytes (FACS-sorted), postnatal tail tip fibroblasts (TTF ▲), hepatocytes (○), and nonhepatocyte liver cells (●) expressed as percentages of infected cells that successfully activate the TauEGFP reporter. Scale bars: 50 μm (A, D, E, and F).

---

**Figure 3. Efficiencies and Timing of Hep-iN Cell Generation**

(A) Most Tuj1-positive cells (green) were BrdU negative (red). (B) Quantification of BrdU/Tuj1 double-positive liver-iN cells after BrdU treatment from day 0–13 or day 1–13 after dox induction (n = 3). (C) Lineage tracing outcome in Albumin-Cre/ROSA26-tdTomato/TauEGFP mice. (D) Freshly isolated hepatocytes. (E and F) Hep-iN cells are double positive. (G) Representative FACS plots showing iN cells (EGFP) from hepatocytes (tdTomato-positive) and nonhepatocytes (tdTomato-negative) 13 days after dox. (H) Efficiency of conversion to iN cells in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF ▲), postnatal tail tip fibroblasts (TTF ▲), hepatocytes (○), and nonhepatocyte liver cells (●) expressed as percentages of infected cells that successfully activate the TauEGFP reporter. Scale bars: 50 μm (A, D, E, and F).
We first considered only those genes that were differentially expressed (genes with expression changes of at least 3-fold) between hepatocytes, day 13 and d22 Hep-iN cells, and NPC-derived neurons 13 days after differentiation. Unsupervised clustering identified three major clusters of genes and revealed that the vast majority of transcriptional changes in d22 Hep-iN cells approached the levels seen in primary neurons (Figure S3A). The largest cluster (cluster B) contained mostly genes with higher expression levels in Hep-iN cells and neurons than in hepatocytes. Accordingly, in this cluster the top eight most significantly enriched gene ontology (GO) terms are associated with neuronal function and development (Figure S3A). The second largest cluster (cluster C) consisted of mostly those genes downregulated in iN cells and primary neurons (Figure S3A). Within this cluster many GO terms typical of liver function, such as coagulation, wound healing, and inflammatory response, were among the most significantly enriched. The analysis also revealed a cluster of genes that were low in hepatocytes and Hep-iN cells but high in neurons (cluster A). This may indicate a group of genes that failed to be induced in iN cells. Indeed, GO terms associated with more mature neuronal function (regulation of membrane and action potentials in neurons) were significantly enriched gene ontology (GO) terms associated with neuronal function and development (Figure S3A). The second largest cluster (cluster C) consisted of mostly those genes downregulated in iN cells and primary neurons (Figure S3A). Within this cluster many GO terms typical of liver function, such as coagulation, wound healing, and inflammatory response, were among the most significantly enriched. The analysis also revealed a cluster of genes that were low in hepatocytes and Hep-iN cells but high in neurons (cluster A). This may indicate a group of genes that failed to be induced in iN cells. Indeed, GO terms associated with more mature neuronal function (regulation of membrane and action potentials in neurons) were significantly enriched.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hepatocytes</th>
<th>Hep-iN</th>
<th>Neurons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hep</td>
<td>Hep</td>
<td>Hep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hep-iN</td>
<td>Hep-iN</td>
<td>Hep-iN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>CN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S1
enriched in this cluster. However, even more GO terms reflecting glial function were similarly enriched (axon and neuron ensheath-
ment, myelination, lipid biosynthesis, and regulation of action
potentials). Thus, (1) contaminating glial cells in the NPC-derived
cultures may have contributed to many genes in this cluster, and
(2) d22 Hep-iN cells may be less mature than the primary
neurons.

We then performed unsupervised hierarchical clustering of all
samples based on 12,275 genes (Figures 4A and 4B). Most IN
cell samples clustered together with the primary neuron
samples, indicating that their overall transcriptome is more
similar to neurons than to their starting cell types. Surprisingly,
NPC-derived neurons were more similar to two IN cell popula-
tions (d22 MEF-iN and d22 TTF-iN) than to neonatal CNs.
Thus, the transcriptional variability between two different primary
neuronal populations was greater than that between IN cells and
a specific population of primary neurons. The various IN cell
samples fell into three groups: (1) the d22 fibroblast-iN cells,
which are most closely approaching primary neurons, (2) d22
Hep-iN cells and d13 fibroblast-iN cells, which are still closer
to primary neurons than to fibroblasts or hepatocytes, and (3)
d13 Hep-iN cells, which are more similar to hepatocytes than
they are to primary neurons. This suggests that hepatocytes are
harder to reprogram and need more time to induce a complete
neuronal program when compared with fibroblasts. The
corresponding heatmap showing expression changes across all
samples by at least 4-fold revealed that d13 MEF-iN and Hep-
iN cells are much better correlated (R^2 = 0.1987) than MEFs
and hepatocytes (R^2 = 0.1097) (Figure 4C). Of note, the increased
overall correlation is caused by a subset of genes being almost
perfectly correlated, while the remaining genes appear uncorre-
lated and more greatly expressed in Hep-iN cells (Figure 4C).
This may suggest that at 13 days the reprogramming factors
have induced a portion of the transcriptional program that is
similar between Hep-iN and MEF-iN cells. Our preliminary anal-
ysis of expression data directly in response to the BAM factors
suggests that the correlated genes are not predominantly direct
target genes, indicating that the transcription factors induce this
pattern through secondary changes (not shown). This is in agree-
ment with the finding that the two different IN cell states become
much more similar to each other after 22 days (R^2 = 0.2824) and
the distinct group of uncorrelated genes decreases (Figure 4C,
compare d13 with d22 MEF and Hep-iN cell plots). Conversely,
d22 Hep-iN cells and hepatocytes appear quite unrelated (R^2 =
0.02104), whereas many genes are well correlated between
Hep-iN cells and NPC-derived neurons (R^2 = 0.2507) (Figure 4C
and Figure S3B). Thus, based on these data the two IN cell
samples are more similar to each other than to the donor cell
types, and IN cells are gradually and increasingly approximating
the state of primary neurons.

The BAM Factors Induce Silencing of both MEF
and Liver-Specific Transcriptional Programs

A key question in lineage reprogramming is whether the induced
cell types may represent hybrid phenotypes composed of
similarly dominant donor and target cell features, or whether
reprogrammed cells have efficiently extinguished the donor
cell-specific identity. To test whether the BAM pool of transcrip-
tion factors were capable of silencing the two donor programs,
we first identified a MEF- and liver-specific expression signature
by comparing publicly available microarray data from 20 different
tissues (Figure S3C). We then evaluated the expression levels of
genesis in IN cells and in their donor cells. Strikingly, for both
MEF- and Hep-iN cells, those donor-specific programs were
extensively downregulated. The MEF signature contained 221
probes, and 209 (95%) and 201 (91%) were downregulated at
least 2-fold in MEF-iN cells at days 13 and 22, respectively.
Similarly, the liver-specific signature was composed of 149 probes,
and 113 (76%) were downregulated at least 2-fold in Hep-iN cells
at day 13 and 126 (85%) at day 22 (Figure 4D). To quantify the
extent of silencing, we compared expression levels of genes
from the liver signature in Hep-iN cells and neurons. Strikingly,
as many as 45% of these liver genes could be considered
“turned off” (i.e., showed expression levels lower or up to a
maximum of 2-fold higher than that in neurons) (Figure S3D).
Furthermore, we found that Hep-iN cells have completely lost
hepatocyte-specific functional properties such as Albumin
secretion and urea production (Figures S2F and S2G).

We then asked what the extent of reprogramming in Hep-iN
cells is on the single-cell level. Twenty-eight single Hep-iN cells
32 days after dox treatment from two independently infected
cultures, thirteen primary TauEGFP-positive CNs cultured for
5 days, and thirteen Albumin-Cre/ROSA26-tbTomato-positive
hepatocytes cultured for 6 days were picked and analyzed using
Fluidigm dynamic real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)
arrays. Figure 4E shows that robust expression of panneuronal
markers was found in 27/28 Hep-iN cells (j-III-tubulin, Map2,
Ncam). Surprisingly, many primary neurons expressed some of
the eight analyzed liver signature genes, illustrating the transcrip-
tional noise of assumed cell type-specific genes. Similar to
neurons, Hep-iN cells were randomly positive for one or more
liver markers while hepatocytes expressed most of those genes
(Figure 4F). When plotting the cells based on how many liver
genes were expressed, we found essentially no overlap between
hepatocytes and neurons or Hep-iN cells (Figure 4F). On the
other hand, the distributions of neurons and Hep-iN cells are
overlapping but distinct. Thus, while some Hep-iN cells appear
to be indistinguishable from primary neurons, there is a trend
that Hep-iN cells express slightly more liver genes than neurons
do. This finding shows that Hep-iN cells do not represent hybrid
phenotypes of neurons and donor cell types but possess an
epigeneic memory of their cells of origin. The lack of detectable
hepatic functional properties suggests that this epigenetic
memory has little if any functional consequence.

DISCUSSION

In this report we show that Albumin-expressing hepatocytes can
be converted into functional neuronal cells. Our results unequiv-
ocally prove that terminally differentiated somatic cells can be
directly converted into a distantly related somatic cell type. In
contrast to previous studies with fibroblasts, we can now
formally rule out the possibility that a specific subpopulation
potentially enriched in stem or progenitor cells are the origin
of IN cells. Also, this demonstrates that cells from definitive
endoderm can be directly converted into functional ectodermal cells. Previously, endodermal cells have been reprogrammed to other functional but closely related lineages (Horb et al., 2003; Sapir et al., 2005; Zhou et al., 2008). Together with the finding that iN cells can be generated also from nonhepatocyte liver cells as well as connective tissue fibroblasts, this raises the possibility that any cell type that can be cultured in vitro may be able to be converted into iN cells using the same or similar reprogramming factors.

The fact that the vast majority of hepatocytes are reprogrammed in the absence of cell division argues that the genome-wide transcriptional remodeling is an active process and does not require DNA synthesis. Several aspects were similar between the Hep- and fibroblast-iN cells, such as the expression of glutamatergic markers, reprogramming efficiencies, and timing of the induction of neuronal reporter genes. However, gene profiling revealed that Hep-iN cells required more time to induce a transcriptional neuronal program than fibroblast-iN cells. These findings suggest that besides the key role of the BAM transcription factors, the epigenetic state of the donor cells does have an important influence on the reprogramming process and outcome. It may therefore be possible to identify a more optimal donor cell type for iN cell generation than fibroblasts or hepatocytes, and some donor cell types may be more prone to generate one particular neuronal subtype than others.

Finally, we addressed the question of whether iN cells derived from hepatocytes or fibroblasts had not only induced neuronal features but also silenced their donor cell type-specific transcriptional network. We made the remarkable observations that the exact same three transcription factors can efficiently downregulate both a fibroblast- and a liver-specific gene expression program through direct and/or indirect events, and that hepatic functions were extinguished. This result was unexpected given that those transcriptional regulators act in well-defined progenitor cell contexts during development and one would not necessarily have expected a mutually exclusive mechanism for cell type-specific gene expression programs. One possible explanation is that the BAM factors target and inhibit a large number of key lineage-determining factors representing many cell fates. Alternatively, the mutual lineage switch could be caused by a more general mechanism such as competition of the lineage-determining factors with a finite amount of ubiquitously required cofactors, which would lead to an obligatory extinction of any other developmental lineage once differentiating cells have committed to one lineage. The ubiquitously expressed E-proteins could represent such critical cofactors because they are known to heterodimerize with several different lineage-specific bHLH transcription factors (Massari and Murre, 2000). While our findings reassuringly demonstrate that iN cells predominantly display features of only the target cell lineage, they raise new questions about the molecular mechanisms of cell fate decisions in the embryo and induced by expression of ectopic factors in vitro.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Hepatocyte Culture**

Disaggregated mouse liver cells were isolated by an adaptation of the two-step collagenase perfusion technique. Liver was extirpated 2 to 5 days after birth, incised, washed, minced, and digested in Kreb’s Ringer Buffer (0.15 mM CaCl$_2$ and 0.54 mg/ml of collagenase type I) (Sigma C0130) for 40 min at 37°C. Cells were centrifuged at 100 × g for 3 min and plated on Collagen-coated plates in hepatocyte plating media. After 4 hr media was changed to hepatocyte culturing media.

**Immunofluorescence, RT-PCR, and Flow Cytometry**

Neuronal cells were defined as cells that stained positive for TuJ1 and had a process at least three times longer than the cell body. Immunofluorescence stainings were performed as previously described (Vierbuchen et al., 2010). EGFP and tdTomato-expressing cells were analyzed and sorted on a FACS Aria II, and flow cytometry data were analyzed using FACS Diva Software (Becton Dickinson).

**Gene Expression Analysis**

Total RNA was isolated using the QiAGEN RNAeasy kit according to the manufacturer’s instruction (QiAGEN). Two-hundred nanograms of total RNA were reverse transcribed using SuperScript® First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen). RT-PCR was performed using the 7900HT Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) using SYBR Green I dye. Primers used are reported in Table S1, available online. Expression profiling was performed using Illumina’s MouseRef-8 v2.0 Expression BeadChip.

**Electrophysiology**

Cells were analyzed at indicated time points after dox induction. Action potentials were recorded with current-clamp whole-cell configuration (Maximov et al., 2007; Vierbuchen et al., 2010).

**Statistical Methods**

Results are presented as mean ± SD. The Student’s t test was used to estimate statistical significance. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

**ACCESSION NUMBERS**

Data from this experiment were deposited in GenBank under the GEO accession number GSE30102.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information for this article includes three figures, one table, and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.stem.2011.09.002.
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